

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

ERIC RAY PRICE, #1848099,

§

Plaintiff,

§

v.

§

Case No. 6:19-CV-143-JDK-JDL

LORIE DAVIS,

§

Defendant.

§

§

§

§

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

This case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On June 19, 2019, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 13), recommending that the action be dismissed with prejudice for purposes of *in forma pauperis* proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). A return receipt indicating delivery to Plaintiff was received by the Clerk on July 1, 2019 (Docket No. 14).

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*), superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Plaintiff did not file objections in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is “clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law”).

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. The Court therefore adopts the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 13) as the findings of this Court.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge’s Report (Docket No. 13) be **ADOPTED** and that this action action be **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** for purposes of *in forma pauperis* proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff may resume his lawsuit if he pays the entire filing fee of \$400 within thirty days after the entry of Final Judgment. All pending motions are **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **16th** day of **July, 2019**.



JEREMY D. KERNODEE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE